UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

JANINE WEATHINGTON, #178869,

| Plaintiff, | | CIVIL ACTION NO. 12-13573 |
|--------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|
| v. | | DISTRICT JUDGE JOHN CORBETT O'MEARA |
| CITY OF DETROIT, et al., | | MAGISTRATE JUDGE MARK A. RANDON |
| Defendants. | / | |

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION TO DISMISS DEFENDANT E. BROWN

On August 21, 2012, the Court ordered the United States Marshal to serve Defendants. (Dkt. No. 5). On September 10, 2012, the U.S. Marshal mailed the Complaint to Defendants "Eland" and "E Brown." On November 2, 2012, the Waiver of Service was returned Unexectued as to these Defendants, because there are too many people with similar names.

On December 12, 2012, the Court ordered Plaintiff to provide additional information regarding "Eland" and "E Brown" (e.g., first names) so that these Defendants could be properly identified and the U.S. Marshal could serve them with the Complaint. (Dkt. No. 12). The Court warned that Plaintiff's failure to provide adequate identifying information may result in the dismissal of Defendants "Eland" and "E Brown." Plaintiff responded on December 21, 2012, but failed to provide any additional information regarding "E Brown." (Dkt. No. 13).

Accordingly, IT IS RECOMMENDED that Defendant "E Brown" be DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE.

The parties to this action may object to and seek review of this Report and

Recommendation, but are required to act within fourteen (14) days of service of a copy hereof as

provided for in 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(2). Failure to file specific

objections constitutes a waiver of any further right of appeal. See Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140

(1985); Howard v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs., 932 F.2d 505, 508 (6th Cir. 1991); United

States v. Walters, 638 F.2d 947, 949-50 (6th Cir. 1981). The filing of objections which raise

some issues, but fail to raise others with specificity, will not preserve all the objections a party

might have to this Report and Recommendation. See Willis v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs.,

931 F.2d 390, 401 (6th Cir. 1991); Smith v. Detroit Fed'n of Teachers Local 231, 829 F.2d 1370,

1373 (6th Cir. 1987). Pursuant to E.D. Mich. LR 72.1(d)(2), a copy of any objections is to be

served upon this Magistrate Judge.

Within fourteen (14) days of service of any objecting party's timely filed objections, the

opposing party may file a response. The response shall be no more than 20 pages in length

unless, by motion and order, the page limit is extended by the court. The response shall address

each issue contained within the objections specifically and in the same order raised.

s/Mark A. Randon

MARK A. RANDON

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Dated: January 17, 2013

Certificate of Service

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing document was served on the parties of record on this date,

January 17, 2013, electronically and by first class mail.

s/Eddrey O. Butts

Case Manager to Magistrate Judge Mark A. Randon

2